## Journal Board

## February 24, 2016

# Editors in Chief Update:

- **Jacob and Jane elected** ran as an uncontested team and were elected with a 97 per cent vote of confidence. 31 votes for and one against, with a 50.8 per cent voter turnout.
  - They've now begun hiring with the caveat that some positions are subject to change.
- **SGPS fee won**: Yes: 566, No: 373
  - Ran social media campaign
  - Plan to continue to cover graduate student issues
  - Met with Asfar regarding letter to the editor
  - Covering LSS Bi-Annual General Meeting in coming weeks
- Website numbers: up by all measures, as seen below.

May 1 – Feb. 24 2015-2016 vs. May 1 – Feb. 24 2014-2015						
Pageviews 34.59% 868,361 vs 645,207	Unique Pageviews 37.58% 768,260 vs 558,398	Avg. Time on Page 9.55% 00:01:51 vs 00:01:41	Bounce Rate 1.13% 80.35% vs 79.45%	% Exit 7.50% 64.41% vs 59.92%		

Jan. 1 2016 to Jan. 31 2016 vs. same time period last year						
Pageviews 81.16% 167,848 vs 92,651	Unique Pageviews 91.92% 150,902 vs 78,629	Avg. Time on Page 20.87% 00:02:04 vs 00:01:43	Bounce Rate 9.38% 84.07% vs 76.85%	% Exit 28.16% 69.67% vs 54.36%		

Jan. 1 2016 to Feb. 24 2016 vs. same time period last year						
Pageviews 59.72% 253,637 vs 158,802	Unique Pageviews 68.34% 227,099 vs 134,905	Avg. Time on Page 19.71% 00:02:01 vs 00:01:41	Bounce Rate 7.54% 82.69% vs 76.89%	% Exit 22.65% 67.61% vs 55.13%		

• **Pick-up Rates:** Jan. numbers were poor

- Preliminary pick-up rates were 34 per cent on average by January 30 across two issues we had to discard results from the first issue back and the Monday endorsement issue as there would be extra issues remaining
- That being said: it was AMS election season, it is winter and this is a small sample
- We identified poor pick-up spots and have reduced or ended delivery to those
- Moved one box to our house for better use of the box

## Cost cutting

- 16 page issues will be the new norm (unless there are full page ads)
- We will also be recommending that the incoming editors end all in-kind deals
  except tangible benefits like pizza and end of year dinner, as they erode value of
  advertising
- Emma can discuss the current deficit further and we have thoughts on steps to take next year.

## • Sign was stolen:

- The Journal will be purchasing a new sign as our old one was stolen.
- **Longform:** released profiles of undergraduate and graduate election candidates and a multimedia project on study drugs.
  - Will start getting numbers; maybe putting ads?
- Globe and Mail visit: Jim Shepherd and Sarah Nolan will be visiting this coming Sunday to discuss professional journalism with staff and review digital projects.
- **Upcoming:** newsroom visits; trip to Toronto to big newspapers (tours, talk to journalists etc.)

### Restructuring Recommendations:

- \* Some will need to go through the board of Directors some will just go through the incoming Editors in Chief
- Issues: Flat management structure; news editor has too much work for too little pay; clear division between editorial and business lost (Editors in Chief overlooking financials); restricting business side
- had a full time office admin who was the backbone of advertisers and business partners; after she left the business structure minus her fell flat; the restructuring is to create more support and break up the responsibilities
- Allocate \$10K for News Editor salary (current honorarium is \$4900 and Whig internship of \$2700; so we're increasing it by \$2400)
  - Considering how much work they do, this is worth it
  - Similar to business manager
  - Remove Whig Internship from work; they just do that in the summer
  - The news section does front page and the most viewed content; top management position that deserves to be a salaried position
  - Under restructuring they'd have 4 assistants
  - An alumni from late 1990s, said NE got the same as Editors in Chief
  - Also important to make sure that these positions are accessible from a variety of socioeconomic backgrounds; this pay does make a difference so we need to pay a

fair wage; 60 hours eliminates time for other job

- Comment: go to AMS salary grid and assess a service manager with similar time commitment; so that the numbers make sense
- Merge features and news editor; so that the two editors can work together and the assistants can float between the two and get experience with both sides (long and short term investigations)
  - This could potentially make less work for news editor
  - Proposed new model: news editor and features editor with 4 floating assistants between them (takes a featured editor and adding an assistant)
  - Would strengthen the news section; section with most views and most prone to legal risk (so they need all the support; can't rely on contributors here)
  - Hope to take some of the load off News Assistants
  - Side notes: our honoraria levels correspond with the number of hours
  - We're not dissolving the features section; just a different way to get the content out
  - Jane and Jacob would like to take on this new model
    - The two editors working together will be beneficial all around
    - This year's assistants have worked with features
    - Features editors who were previous News Assistants were strong
- Comment: potential conflict of 4 people with lack of clear supervisor
  - News Editor gets paid more; so do they have more authority?
  - Maybe having one head and a deputy; streamlines authority
  - Response: a rotating structure established in advance can ensure authority isn't confused
- Comment: the reasons for restructuring were a flat management structure and controlling honoraria money better, so a different model could fit those needs better
  - No features editor; still combined and still have features; would have a deputy news editor to deal with flat management issue
  - Response: issue with combining the 2 without a features editor, can mean features will begin to slip through the cracks; maybe the section will be filled with only news articles; idea was also to alleviate strain from the news editor and adding a deputy wouldn't do that; it would just add more editing for them
  - Potential solution: can promote strongest assistant to deputy half way through the year
    - Morale issue with that though
- What was tough as a news editor was to teach the 3 assistants everything required to write a news story; a features editor on the same level could help ease that strain
- Another side note: position names have never been changed; so the assistant editors are mainly writers, not assistants
- Can we agree that we need to add another assistant? That needs to be decided within the next week; we can discuss structure later
- Incoming editors in chief can try a new model and adjust as they see fit; it will have to be a gradual process to make sure content is not compromised

#### Motions

- \*If approved, they will move to the Board of Directors for final advisory
  - That the JAB approve the recommendation to make news editor a salaried position on the AMS salary grid for 8-moth salary position
    - Moved, seconded, passes
  - That the JAB approve the recommendation to add an assistant news editor (section merge tabled for next time)
    - Moved, seconded, passes
  - That the JAB approve the recommendation to alter the Production Manager's job description to include managing all visual content (editorial illustrator will continue to report to Editorial Editor); new Editors in Chief will also move Digital Editor under Production Manager
    - Tabled for next meeting
  - That the JAB approve the recommendation to alter the supervision of the office administrator from the Editors in Chief to the Business Manager
    - Moved, seconded, passes
  - That the JAB approve the recommendation to create a Head Sales Representative position (commission and honoraria; not salaried)
    - Moved, seconded, passes

### Miscellaneous

- AMS Board discussion about honoraria has not covered Journal honoraria and will not do so without consulting JAB
- Will discuss this after restructuring is finalized